

Cellular Concentrations of Enzymes and Their Substrates

KATHY R. ALBE, MARGARET H. BUTLER AND BARBARA E. WRIGHT†

*Microbiology Department, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812,
U.S.A.*

(Received on 9 December 1988, Accepted in revised form on 13 July 1989)

The activity of crude and pure enzyme preparations as well as the molecular weight of these enzymes were obtained from the literature for several organisms. From these data enzyme concentrations were calculated and compared to the concentration(s) of their substrates in the same organism. The data are expressed as molar ratios of metabolite concentration to enzyme site concentration. Of the 140 ratios calculated, 88% were one or greater, indicating that in general substrates exceed their cognate enzyme concentrations. Of the 17 cases where enzyme exceeds metabolite concentration, 16 were in glycolysis. The data in general justify the use of enzyme kinetic mechanisms determined *in vitro* in the construction of dynamic models which simulate *in vivo* metabolism.

Introduction

The actual structure of the cell's internal environment has been a topic of debate for many years and new ideas about this organization have appeared frequently in the recent scientific literature. Rather than enzymes and metabolites mixing randomly in a dilute aqueous environment, a more structured organization clearly exists. There is evidence which suggests that interactions between the cytosolic enzymes of a metabolic pathway may lead to the direct channeling of metabolites between these enzymes (Davis, 1967; Koch-Schmidt *et al.*, 1977; Leu & Kaplan, 1970; Nover *et al.*, 1980; Srivastava & Bernhard, 1986*a,b*). Other soluble enzymes have been found bound to cellular substructures, for example, the association of glycolytic enzymes with the contractile apparatus (Dustin, 1984) or the association of tricarboxylic acid cycle enzymes in mitochondria (Robinson & Srere, 1985). Thus cellular compartmentation exists at the level of organelles or macrocompartments as seen in mitochondria and lysosomes, and possibly at the level of proteins or microcompartments where localized areas of high protein concentrations form an interacting system.

One of the consequences of high protein concentration, in addition to the formation of microcompartments, is that the concentration of an enzyme may be higher than the concentration of its substrate(s) (Ottaway & Mowbray, 1977; Sols & Marco, 1970; Srere, 1967, 1968; Srivastava & Bernhard, 1986*a*). Srivastava & Bernhard (1986*b*) compared the concentrations of some of the glycolytic enzyme sites of mammalian muscle tissue with the concentration of the related intermediary metab-

† Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

olites and found several enzymes whose active site concentration exceeded the substrate concentrations. This observation led them to conclude that metabolites are probably passed directly from one enzyme site to another without dissociating to a free-state. Therefore, these cytosolic enzymes possibly form metastable complexes *in vivo*.

This new "structural-functional level of organization" (Friedrich, 1984) is of great relevance to the construction and analysis of dynamic models purporting to simulate metabolism *in vivo* (El-Rafai & Bergman, 1976; Heinrich *et al.*, 1977; Kohn *et al.*, 1977; London, 1966; McMinn & Ottaway, 1976; Wright & Kelly, 1981). These models incorporate enzyme mechanisms and kinetic constants determined under the usual *in vitro* conditions using the classical Michaelis-Menten assumptions. One of these assumptions is that the free substrate concentration is equal to the total substrate concentration; that is, the concentration of enzyme-substrate complex is much smaller than substrate concentration. However, when substrate is of comparable concentration to enzyme, in a perfectly mixed system, a high percentage of metabolite would be bound to enzyme and free metabolite would be lower than total concentration. It has been suggested that the excess of high affinity enzyme binding sites compared to substrate concentration usually occurs in other metabolic pathways as well as in the muscle glycolytic system (Srivastava & Bernhard, 1986*b*).

Literature Survey

In this paper, the general conclusion that enzyme site concentration exceeds metabolite concentration was examined. The literature for six organisms was surveyed for: (a) enzyme activity in crude fractions, (b) enzyme activity of purified fractions, (c) enzyme molecular weight, and (d) the concentration of pertinent metabolites from the same sources. The enzyme active-site and substrate concentrations were calculated and the data expressed as a molar concentration ratio of substrate:enzyme active site. When the literature reported a number of values, for example, for enzyme activity in crude extracts, we biased our selection to favor the conclusions of Srivastava & Bernhard (1986*b*).

The enzymes and metabolites used in this survey were from glycolysis and related carbohydrate metabolism, the pentose-phosphate pathway, amino acid metabolism, the glyoxylate, urea, and Calvin cycles. The six organisms employ different metabolic strategies and needless to say, the available literature in part determined the choices of enzymes and organisms. *Escherichia coli* was chosen as a model for prokaryotes. It has a great variety of cytosolic proteins and metabolites, lacks extensive macrocompartmentation, and thrives in an aqueous medium from which it acquires all its nutrients. In yeast cells, the cytosolic protein fraction consists largely of glycolytic enzymes and macrocompartmentation is present. Mammalian muscle cells also contain large quantities of glycolytic enzymes in the cytosol, and in addition many proteins are associated with the contractile apparatus. Liver cells function in many areas of metabolism and probably contain the greatest variety of proteins. Red blood cells exist in a relatively aqueous environment, have little intracellular macrocompartmentation and contain a high concentration of a specialized protein,

hemoglobin. *Dictyostelium discoideum*, a cellular slime mold, is unusual in that it uses protein as its major energy source throughout differentiation. These systems, covering three of the Kingdoms of the biological world, provide a fairly wide spectrum of the metabolic variation found in today's living organisms.

Calculation of Enzyme and Metabolite Concentrations

Enzyme concentrations were calculated as follows: (a) turnover number was calculated from the specific activity ($\mu\text{mol substrate converted min}^{-1} \text{mg protein}^{-1}$) of the most purified enzyme fraction available using the molecular weight of the holoenzyme and assuming that all protein represented active holoenzyme; the turnover number was expressed as $\mu\text{mol substrate converted min}^{-1} \mu\text{mol enzyme}^{-1}$); (b) a V_{max} value, assumed to represent *in vivo* activity, was calculated from the specific activity of a crude enzyme fraction using the conversion factors listed in Table 1; V_{max} values were expressed as $\mu\text{mol substrate converted min}^{-1} \text{liter cell}$

TABLE 1
Protein concentration and water content of different cell types

Source	Water content (g 100 g ⁻¹ moist tissue)	Protein (mg ml ⁻¹ cell volume)‡	Reference
<i>E. coli</i>	70	235	Ingraham <i>et al.</i> (1983)
Yeast	65	280	Altman & Dittmer (1964)
<i>D. discoideum</i>	70	121	Walsh & Wright (1978)
Rat liver	69	313	Long (1961)
Rat muscle	77	260	Long (1961)
Human RBC†	65	158	Long (1961)
Pig heart	77	260	Long (1961)

† Red blood cells.

‡ Calculated based on mg protein-mg⁻¹ wet weight.

volume⁻¹; (c) enzyme concentration was determined by dividing the V_{max} value from (b) by the turnover number from (a). The total enzyme site concentration was finally determined by multiplying enzyme concentration by the number of subunits per holoenzyme, assuming one active site per subunit. If a specific polymer size were required for catalysis then the enzyme concentration was modified by the appropriate factor. The final calculated value is referred to as the enzyme site concentration, expressed as μM and compared to μM substrate concentration(s). The calculated ratios are given in Table 2.

In an ideal analysis all metabolite and enzyme data would come not only from the same laboratory, but also from the same tissue and from the same extract. Unfortunately, these circumstances are rarely reported in the literature. However, it was possible to obtain the data for most of our calculations from the same organism or tissue; exceptions are noted. In some cases, if not available from the organism in question, the enzyme molecular weight and/or number of subunits per holoenzyme

TABLE 2
 Substrate concentration (μM), enzyme site concentration (μM)

Carbohydrate metabolism								
Enzyme	Substrate	<i>E. coli</i>	Yeast	D.d. ^c	Rat	Rabbit ^f	Rat	Human
					Liver	Muscle	Muscle	RBC
(Glc) _n Syn	(Glc) _n ^d			1036 ^{dr}	22 900	123		
	UDPG		461	100 ^{dr}	206	8		
(Glc) _n Phosphorylase	(Glc) _n			670			15 ^k	
	Pi		8800	588-5882			54	
			1279 ^h					
Glc kinase	Glc			10 ^d	13 500			
	ATP	3		13 ^d	4 840			
HK	Glc						5057	
	ATP		91-137				6540	
PGM	Glc1P		529			84 ^j		
PGI	F6P		8	1 ^{dr}		11 ^j		140
PFK	F6P		48	30 ^d		8 ^j		333
	ATP	278	82-142	30 ^d		72		34 000
		236 ^h						
Aldolase	FDP		8-21		2	0.9 ^j	0.1	10
TPI	DHAP		14			0.4 ^j		9
GA3PD	GA3P		7-21			0.02 ^j		
	NAD	19-32 ^r	18			0.3		
	Pi		393			5		
GPGA kinase	3PGA		5			0.4 ^j	0.7	48
	ATP	70	9			27	48	1 130
PGA mutase	3PGA		3			0.2 ^j		
Enolase	2PGA				2	0.02 ^j	0.04 ^j	
PK	PEP	5-18	0.2		8	0.1 ^j	0.1	17
		4-13 ^h						
	ADP	50	5		101	14	19	183
		35 ^h						
F1,6 bis-P ^t ase	FDP		708-1875	0.08 ^d	0.8	2 ^j		0.9
G6PD	Glc6P		657		53-87			771
	NADP		6-43		22			628
6PGD	6PG		6-19					19
	NADP		5					55
Gal 1P UT	Gal 1P							
	UDPG	54	316					
Gal kinase	Gal							
	ATP	21-36						807
Protein metabolism								
Enzyme	Substrate	<i>E. coli</i>	Yeast	Rat liver				
Asp TA	Asp	20-64	600-2600	30-48				
	α KG	22	40-1000	6				
Glu D	Glu	15 780	7890-18 420 ^d					
	NADP		10-79 ^c					
Gln Syn	Glu	665		144-164				
	NH ₃			28-32				
	ATP	101		147-167				

TABLE 2—continued

Protein metabolism				
Enzyme	Substrate	<i>E. coli</i>	Yeast	Rat liver
Glu decarboxylase	Glu	112		
Ser dehydrase	Ser			367-417
GSSG reductase	GSSG			
	NADPH		10-32 ^c	270
Orn decarboxylase	Orn		256	
Carbamoyl P Syn	Gln			147-208
	ATP	550		101
Aspartase	Asp	5-18		
Miscellaneous				
Metabolic				
Enzyme	System	Pathway	Substrate	Ratio
UDPG pyrophosphorylase	D.d.	CHO ^a	UDPG PPi	69 ^e
Transaldolase	Yeast	CHO	F6P E4P	0.8 10 ^b
Malic enzyme	Rat muscle	TCA ^h	Malate NAD(P)	107
Tyr TA	Rat liver	Prot ⁱ	Tyr α KG	9
Gln Syn	Rat muscle	Prot	Glu NH ₃ ATP	382-430 ^m 65-73 569-640
GSSG reductase	Human RBC	Prot	GSSG NADPH	96
Asp transcarbamoylase	<i>E. coli</i>	Prot	Asp Carbamoyl P	5-16
Glycerol kinase	<i>E. coli</i>		Glycerol ATP	27
Uridine phosphorylase	D.d.	CHO	Uridine Pi	384-3850 ^{dr}
	Rat liver	CHO	Uridine Pi	480-560
PEP carboxylase	<i>E. coli</i>	CHO	PEP	12-40
Pyr carboxylase	Yeast	CHO	Pyr ATP	320 ^d 220-320 ^d
	Rat liver	CHO	PYR ATP	25 478

^a Assumed 1 site per 12 glucose units.

^b Second enzyme form.

^c *Dictyostelium discoideum*.

^d Assumed molecular weight.

^e Assumed number of subunits.

^f Metabolite concentrations were from rat muscle.

^g CHO: carbohydrate.

^h TCA: tricarboxylic acid cycle.

ⁱ Prot: protein.

^j rabbit hind-limb and and back muscles.

^k whole rat muscle.

^l unspecified skeletal muscle.

^m rat hind-limb muscles.

Abbreviations: Substrates: (Glc)_n: glycogen; UDPG: uridine diphosphate glucose; Pi: inorganic phosphate; Glc: glucose; ATP: adenosine triphosphate; Glc1P: glucose-1-phosphate; F6P: fructose-6-phosphate; FDP: Fructose, 1,6-bisphosphate; DHAP: dihydroxyacetone-phosphate; GA3P: Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate; NAD: nicotinamide dinucleotide; 3PGA: 3-phosphoglycerate; 2PGA: 2-phospho-

continued overleaf

glycerate; PEP: phosphoenolpyruvate; ADP: adenosine diphosphate; Glc6P: glucose-6-phosphate; NADP: nicotinamide dinucleotide phosphate; 6PG: 6-phosphogluconate; Gal 1P: galactose-1-phosphate; Gal: galactose; Asp: aspartate; Glu: glutamate; Ser: serine; GSSG: oxidized glutathione; NADPH: reduced nicotinamide dinucleotide phosphate; orn: ornithine; Pyr: pyruvate; GTP: guanosine triphosphate; P_i: pyrophosphate; R5P: ribose-5-phosphate; E4P: erythrose-4-phosphate; Tyr: tyrosine; carbamoyl P: carbamoyl phosphate.

Enzymes: (Glc)_n Syn: glycogen synthase; HK: hexokinase; PGM: phosphoglucomutase, PGI: phosphoglucoisomerase; PFK: phosphofructokinase; TPI: triose phosphate isomerase; GA3PD: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; PGA Mutase: phosphophoglycerate mutase; PK: pyruvate kinase; F_{1,6} bisP_itase: fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase; G6PD: glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; 6PGD: 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase; Gal 1P UT: galactose-1-phosphate uridyl-transferase; Asp TA: aspartate transaminase; Glu D: glutamate dehydrogenase; Gln Syn: glutamine synthase; Carbamoyl P Syn: carbamoyl phosphate synthase; PDC: pyruvate dehydrogenase complex; Tyr TA: tyrosine transaminase.

from a different but closely related organism were used in the calculation of turnover number (^d and ^e in Table 2). This appeared to be justified since the molecular weights are rather consistent among closely related organisms. Enzyme activities from crude extracts or purified enzyme (used in calculating turnover number) were always from the organism stated.

The metabolite concentrations were usually obtained from the literature in units of $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ of dry or fresh weight and converted to μM using the data given in Table 1. As mentioned above, metabolite and enzyme data were also obtained from the same organism unless otherwise noted. The major exception is for the muscle metabolites. Muscle metabolite concentrations were from rat muscle whereas most of the enzyme data were obtained from rabbit. Where enzyme data for rats was available, the ratios were similar to those reported for the rabbit data, implying that enzyme activities from these tissue types were similar. Moreover, it was interesting to note that metabolite concentrations were more consistent than the enzyme concentrations between the various organisms. This may, in part, reflect the fact that substrates are relatively stable compared to enzymes, and can be recovered in comparable amounts even though different methodologies are used. The concentrations of substrates and enzyme active sites are expressed in terms of cell volume (i.e. water content). We chose what we judged as the best values for total water content of the various systems to determine both the metabolite and enzyme site concentrations. However, as both concentrations were determined using the same water content, the ratios are not dependent upon the accuracy of these values.

There are of course, many sources of error which may affect these ratios. In general, cellular integrity, organelles and metabolic compartments must be destroyed in order to measure either enzyme activity or metabolite concentrations. The presence of extracellular metabolites may be a problem for some concentrations reported, and result in higher concentrations than were actually present and measured intracellularly. This could be an important consideration for both muscle and liver tissues, which are difficult to wash free of extracellular metabolites. However, it would be a minor consideration for the micro-organisms, as these are easily washed free of contaminating extracellular metabolites. An additional problem is differential distri-

bution of metabolite and enzyme. In muscle tissue Hintz *et al.* (1984) found heterogeneity in both enzymes and metabolites within individual muscle fibers. They suggest larger volume extracts as the best methodology for correlating components in muscle tissue. Metabolite may exist in several intracellular compartments, for instance, cytosol and mitochondria, whereas enzyme may only exist in a single compartment. Thus concentration based on the entire cell volume may not reflect intracompartments concentrations of either metabolites or enzymes. Unfortunately, there is no general method of predicting whether the concentration of a compartmented metabolite or enzyme will be higher or lower than that based on the total cell volume. For instance, if mitochondrial volume is estimated to be one tenth of total cell volume, then 90% of a metabolite could exist extramitochondrially and intramitochondrial concentration would be the same as that calculated based on total cell volume. If only 5% were intramitochondrial, the average concentration based on cell volume would be twice that of mitochondrial concentration. However, if 15% were intramitochondrial, then concentration within the mitochondrion would be greater than that calculated based on total cell volume. If enzyme were entirely found in a smaller compartment, then its concentration would in reality be higher than that predicted based on total cell volume. Thus, if the same volume assumptions were made, and enzyme were found exclusively in the mitochondrion, then site concentration could be ten times higher than that calculated based on total cell volume.

There is also a problem of free vs. bound water. Enzymes and other proteins are generally associated with a fairly stable layer of water. This bound water does not behave as bulk water and may additionally decrease the actual volume in which metabolites are dissolved (Srere, 1985). Another source of error is an over-estimation of substrate concentration if a fraction is enzyme-bound, for example, where several competing enzymes are involved. With respect to multiple use of ATP in carbohydrate metabolism (Table 2), the individual ratios are so high that they would not be significantly affected. With respect to ADP, using the free ADP concentration, as suggested by Seraydarian *et al.* (1962), the lowest ratio we found would change from 14 to 5.6.

Errors are also involved in the measurement of enzymatic activity. Of necessity, enzymes must be diluted to abnormally low protein concentrations and frequently optimal, rather than physiological, conditions of pH and temperature are employed in their assay. Available enzyme sites may be over-estimated, as a significant fraction of the enzyme sites assayed in dilute solution may be inactive or unavailable to substrate *in vivo* due to enzyme-bound product or inhibitor or compartmentation of enzyme from substrate. For example, in muscle, a substantial part of glyceraldehyde-3-P dehydrogenase exists bound to 3-phosphoglycerate (Block *et al.*, 1971). For 23 enzymes of carbohydrate metabolism and the citric acid cycle in *Dictyostelium*, it has been possible to make a meaningful comparison between calculated *in vivo* enzyme activity and enzyme activity measured *in vitro* (V_{max}) (Wright & Albe, 1989). Excluding three extreme values (two of which were enzyme complexes), V_{max} values were on average 30-fold higher than calculated *in vivo* enzyme activities. Thus, we would predict that available enzyme site concentration based on V_{max} values in

crude extracts is in general overestimated. Enzymes have also been found to serve structural roles (Wistow *et al.*, 1987). Available enzyme sites *in vivo* may also be underestimated when measuring enzyme activity *in vitro*, due to the disruption of enzyme complexes, proteolytic inactivation, the dilution (loss) of unknown activators, and so on. A single subunit may not have an active site, be active only in a particular polymeric array, or in rare cases have multiple active sites.

Enzyme recovery from extracts may not be complete. This is of particular concern in the muscle, as many enzymes can bind to the contractile apparatus and thus be removed from the crude extract by centrifugation commonly employed to rid the extract of cellular debris (Clarke & Masters, 1975; Clarke & Morton, 1976).

Results of Survey

The ratios in Table 2 are expressed as μM substrate/ μM enzyme active site. For the total of 140 ratios calculated, 123 were one or greater, and 105 were greater than or equal to ten. Sixty-eight of the enzymes examined had calculated ratios for all substrates and 52 of these had all ratios greater than or equal to one. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that in general the choice of metabolites did not inherently favor those which are either easily isolated or of extremely high concentration within the cell.

Glycogen concentration is usually expressed in glucose equivalents. However, this tends to overestimate the sites available to glycogen-processing enzymes. Therefore, we estimated there was one site available for every 12 glucose equivalents based on the average chain length of glycogen of 12 glucose units. Brammer *et al.* (1972) found that all but 25% of glycogen could be degraded by β -amylase. Thus, our figure may be relatively conservative in the estimation of total available sites, as we assume only 1/12 of the glycogen is available for degradation. Also, the ratios of glycogen concentration to glycogen processing enzymes are so large that even another ten-fold decrease in glycogen site availability would still result in high ratio values. A ten-fold decrease in glycogen concentration would mean that less than 1% of the glucose would be available to processing enzymes.

The majority of the cases in which enzyme site exceeds substrate concentration is in glycolysis. Of the 17 ratios less than one, 16 were in the glycolytic pathway, and of these 12 were in rabbit or rat muscle. Thus, we substantiate Srivastava and Bernhard's observations, but find them to be almost unique to glycolysis in muscle. In general, substrate exceeds enzyme site concentration *in vivo*. Glycolytic enzymes from other sources do not show this pattern as strikingly as muscle tissue, which is highly specialized and unique in its need for a very rapid mobilization of available energy sources. The association or microcompartmentation of enzymes and substrates may have evolved in this system to insure that the majority of the substrates present were bound, making them immediately available for metabolic processing. Moreover, protein is used as an energy source, especially under nutritional stress. Due to the general vulnerability of most proteins to proteolytic attack, excessive enzyme protein concentration may be essential in order to insure adequate catalytic activity in times of stress.

Discussion

Perhaps these compiled data will stimulate thought among those studying the *in-vivo* organization and metabolism of cells. New information on the organization of cytosolic proteins within cells focuses attention on a problem biochemists have struggled with since the beginning of this discipline: the extent to which *in-vitro* data are relevant to *in-vivo* metabolism. With respect to a basic assumption underlying Michaelis-Menten kinetics, the data summarized by the ratios of substrate: enzyme concentration in Table 2 would seem, in general, to justify the use of enzyme kinetic mechanisms and constants determined *in vitro* in dynamic metabolic models. For the reactions modelled in the *Dictyostelium* system, most of these ratios are greater than one. Kinetic models incorporating enzyme mechanisms represent an analytical tool with which the relevance of specific enzyme mechanisms to metabolism *in vivo* may be examined (Kelleher *et al.*, 1978; Kelly *et al.*, 1979; Wright & Kelly, 1981).

The ratios of muscle metabolites to enzyme site concentrations were unique in this analysis. It is therefore critical to examine the assumptions underlying the generated ratios to see if some bias was developed in the analysis. In general, enzyme site concentration from muscle tissues would tend to be underestimated—mainly due to incomplete extraction and inactivation of an enzyme during its isolation from crude extracts. On the other hand metabolite concentrations were probably overestimated, when based on total cellular volume. This is because contaminating extracellular metabolites were more likely to have been included in the total concentration. Other factors, such as inhomogeneous distribution of metabolites and enzymes, might also influence *in-vivo* metabolite to enzyme site concentration ratios. Most of these factors would tend to increase the calculated ratios, that is, the actual *in-vivo* ratio would be smaller. This is additional evidence that there are probably some physiologically significant differences between muscle tissue and the other cell types examined.

In Michaelis-Menten analyses, the total substrate concentration is assumed to equal the free substrate concentration. This assumption holds well for *in-vitro* kinetic analyses since substrate concentrations are generally in large excess compared to enzyme sites; this assumption also holds for most of the cases presented in Table 2, as the majority of the ratios are greater than ten. However, in *in-vivo* situations where substrate concentration may be comparable to enzyme site concentration, or where several enzymes are competing for the same substrates (Sols & Marco, 1970; Srere, 1985), a considerable portion of the substrate may be bound to the enzyme(s). Bound substrate concentrations can be calculated from a dissociation constant (Segel, 1975) or from an equilibrium constant (Sols & Marco, 1970). Free substrate concentration (total minus bound substrate) can be employed in a general Michaelis-Menten analysis to predict the actual velocity of the reaction. However, Srivastava and Bernhard have demonstrated, *in vitro*, that pairs of complementary dehydrogenases can directly transfer NAD from one to the other (Srivastava & Bernhard, 1986a). In this analysis the predicted rate of the reaction, based on dissociated substrate concentration, was much lower than the measured rate. Therefore, they conclude that more than the dissociated substrate was available to the enzyme. This

leads to an effectively higher intracellular or intracompartmented substrate concentration than would be predicted based on dissociation constants. Recent reanalysis of these data suggests that the original interpretation was wrong. The observed rates could instead be explained using more classical approaches and without invoking a direct transfer mechanism (Chock & Gutfreund, 1989). Coprecipitation of isolated enzymes has also been used as evidence for enzyme:enzyme interactions. These coprecipitations are specific and have been performed for a number of enzymes involved in the TCA cycle (Halper & Srere, 1977; Sumegi *et al.*, 1980; Beeckmans & Kanarek, 1981; Fahien & Kmioetek, 1983; Porpaczy *et al.*, 1983; Sumei *et al.*, 1985).

Another physical consideration which may increase the concentration of substrates is that protein may occupy a significant portion of the volume within the cell or macrocompartment. Thus, Srere (1985) has proposed that opposition of complementary enzyme sites and trapping of metabolites within a protein matrix may lead to higher concentrations than calculated based on total cell or macrocompartment volume. Kinetic models may be useful in predicting whether an enzyme participates in a direct transfer mechanism, where more than dissociated substrate concentration should be considered, or whether free substrate concentrations should be calculated by the use of dissociation constants and used in the enzyme kinetic expression to more accurately simulate conditions *in vivo*.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the helpful suggestions of Dr Earl Stadtman, Dr P. Boon Chock and Dr Paul Srere. This work was supported by the Public Health Service Grant AG03884 from the National Institutes of Health.

REFERENCES

- ALTMAN, P. L. & DITTMER, D. S. (Eds.) (1964). *Biology Data Book*. Washington, D.C.: Federal American Society of Experimental Biology.
- BEECKMANS, S. & KANAREK, L. (1981). Demonstration of physical interactions between consecutive enzymes of the citric acid cycle and of the aspartate-malate shuttle: a study involving fumarase, malate dehydrogenase, citrate synthase and aspartate aminotransferase. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **117**, 527-535.
- BLOCK, W., MACQUERRIE, R. A. & BERNHARD, S. A. (1971). The nucleotide and acyl group content of native rabbit muscle glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. *J. biol. Chem.* **246**, 780-790.
- BRAMMER, G. L., ROUGRIE, M. A. & FRENCH, D. (1972). Distribution of α -amylase-resistant regions in the glycogen molecule. *Carbohydrate Res.* **24**, 343-354.
- CHOCK, P. B. & GUTFREUND, H. (1989). A reexamination of the kinetic evidence in support of the direct transfer mechanism in the glycolytic pathway. *J. Cell Biol.* **107**, 836a (Abstract no. 4760).
- CLARKE, F. M. & MASTERS, C. J. (1975). On the association of glycolytic enzymes with structural proteins of skeletal muscle. *Biochem. biophys. Acta* **381**, 37-46.
- CLARKE, F. M. & MORTON, D. J. (1976). Aldolase binding to actin-containing filaments: formation of paracrystals. *Biochem. J.* **159**, 797-798.
- DAVIS, R. H. (1967). In: *Organizational Biosynthesis* (Vogel, H., Lampen, J. O., & Bryson, V., eds) pp. 303-322. New York: Academic Press.
- DUSTIN, P. (1984). *Microtubules*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- EL-RAFAI, M. & BERGMAN, R. N. (1976). Simulation study of control of hepatic glycogen synthesis by glucose and insulin. *Am. J. Physiol.* **231**, 1608-1619.
- FAHIEN, L. A. & KMIOTEK, E. (1983). Complexes between mitochondrial enzymes and either citrate synthase or glutamate dehydrogenase. *Arch. biochem. Biophys.* **220**, 386-397.
- FRIEDRICH, P. (1984). *Supramolecular Enzyme Organization*. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- HALPER, L. A. & SRERE, P. A. (1977). Interaction between citrate synthase and mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase in the presence of polyethyleneglycol. *Arch. biochem. Biophys.* **184**, 529-534.

- HEINRICH, R., RAPOPORT, S. M. & RAPOPORT, T. A. (1977). Metabolic regulation and mathematical models. *Prog. biophys. molec. Biol.* **32**, 1-82.
- HINTZ, C. S., CHI, M. M.-Y. & LOWRY, O. H. (1984). Heterogeneity in regard to enzymes and metabolites within individual muscle fibers. *Am. J. Physiol.* **246**, C288-C292.
- INGRAHAM, J. L., MAALOE, O. & NEIDHARDT, F. C. (1983). *Growth of the Bacterial Cell*, Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- KELLEHER, J. K., KELLY, P. J. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1978). A kinetic analysis of glucokinase and glucose-6-phosphate phosphatase in *Dictyostelium*. *Molec. Cell. Biochem.* **19**, 67-73.
- KELLY, P. J., KELLEHER, J. K. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1979). Glycogen phosphorylase from *Dictyostelium*: a kinetic analysis by computer simulation. *Biosystems* **11**, 55-63.
- KOCH-SCHMIDT, A. C., MATTIASSON, B. & MOSBACH, K. (1977). Aspects on microenvironment compartmentation. An evaluation of the influence of restricted diffusion, exclusion effects, and enzyme proximity on the overall efficiency of the sequential two-enzyme system malate dehydrogenase-citrate synthase in its soluble and immobilized form. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **81**, 71-78.
- KOHN, M. C., ACHS, M. J. & GARFINKEL, D. (1977). Distribution of adenine nucleotides in the perfused rat heart. *Am J. Physiol.* **232**, R158-R163.
- LEU, P. F. & KAPLAN, J. (1970). Metabolic compartmentation at the molecular level: the function of a multienzyme aggregate in the pyrimidine pathway of yeast. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **220**, 365-372.
- LONDON, W. P. (1966). A theoretical study of hepatic glycogen metabolism. *J. biol. Chem.* **241**, 3008-3022.
- LONG, C. (ed.) (1961). *Biochemist's Handbook*. Princeton: D. Van Nostrand.
- MCMINN, C. L. & OTTAWAY, J. H. (1976). On the control of enzyme pathways. *J. theor. Biol.* **56**, 57-73.
- NOVER, L., LYNEN, F. & MOTHES, K. (eds) (1980). *Cell Compartmentation and Metabolic Channeling*. New York: Elsevier Biomedical Press.
- OTTAWAY, J. H. & MOWBRAY, J. (1977). The role of compartmentation in the control of glycolysis. *Curr. Top. cell. Regul.* **12**, 107-208.
- PROPACZY, Z., SUMEGI, B. & ALKONYI, I. (1983). Association between the α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complex and succinate thiokinase. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **749**, 172-179.
- ROBINSON, J. B. JR. & SRERE, P. A. (1985). Organization of Krebs' tricarboxylic acid cycle enzymes in mitochondria. *J. biol. Chem.* **260**, 10 800-10 805.
- SEGEL, I. H. (1975). *Enzyme Kinetics*. pp. 72-74. New York: John Wiley.
- SERAYDARIAN, K., MOMMAERTS, W. F. H. M. & WALLNER, A. (1962). The amount and compartmentalization of adenosine diphosphate in muscle. *Biochem. biophys. Acta* **65**, 443-460.
- SOLS, A. & MARCO, R. (1970). Concentration of metabolites and binding sites. Implication in metabolic regulation. *Curr. Top. cell. Regul.* **2**, 227-273.
- SRERE, P. A. (1967). Enzyme concentrations in tissues. *Science* **158**, 936-937.
- SRERE, P. A. (1968). In: *Biochemical Society Symposia* No. 27 (Goodwin, T. W., ed.) pp. 11-21. New York: Academic Press.
- SRERE, P. A., (1985). In: *Organized Multienzyme Systems: Catalytic Properties* (Welch, G. R., ed.) pp. 1-61. New York: Academic Press.
- SRIVASTAVA, D. K. & BERNHARD, S. A. (1986a). Enzyme-enzyme interactions and the regulation of metabolic reaction pathways. *Curr. Top. cell. Regul.* **28**, 1-68.
- SRIVASTAVA, D. K. & BERNHARD, S. A. (1986b). Metabolite transfer via enzyme-enzyme complexes. *Science* **234**, 1081-1086.
- SUMEGI, B., GILBERT, H. F. & SRERE, P. A. (1985). Interaction between citrate synthase and thiolase. *J. biol. Chem.* **260**, 188-190.
- SUMEGI, B., LASZLO, G. & ALKONKYI, I. (1980). Interaction between the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex and citrate synthase. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **616**, 158-166.
- WALSH, J. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1978). Kinetics of net RNA degradation during development in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *J. gen. Microbiol.* **108**, 57-62.
- WISTOW, G. J., MULDER, J. W. M. & DEJONG, W. W. (1987). The enzyme lactate dehydrogenase as a structural protein in avian and crocodilian lenses. *Nature, Lond.* **326**, 622-624.
- WRIGHT, B. E. (1986). Measuring metabolic control with kinetic models. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **11**, 164-165.
- WRIGHT, B. E. & ALBE, K. R. (1989). A new method for estimating enzyme activity and control coefficients *in vivo*, in *Control of Metabolic Processes*, NATO Advanced Research Workshop, Il Ciocco (Lucca) Italy, April 9-15, in press.
- WRIGHT, B. E. & BUTLER, M. H. (1987). The heredity-environment continuum: a systems analysis. In: *Evolution of Longevity in Animals* (Woodhead, A. D. & Thompson, K. H., eds) pp. 111-122. New York: Plenum.
- WRIGHT, B. E. & KELLY, P. J. (1981). Kinetic models of metabolism in intact cells, tissues, and organisms. *Curr. Top. cell. Regul.* **19**, 103-158.

APPENDIX

Legends for Tables AI–AIV

The values given for yeast were obtained mostly from the genus *Saccharomyces* with the exception of a few which were taken from *Candida*.

The values given for muscle were obtained mostly from rabbit muscle. The exceptions are from rat muscle and are indicated as such by a footnote.

The values given for plants were obtained from spinach leaves, unless otherwise noted.

If two values are listed for one enzyme, they refer to different enzyme forms.

Note: A reference for each value is given in parentheses. The references are listed at the end of the Appendix.

TABLE AI

The activity from a crude extract and a highly purified preparation of each enzyme is given. Activity is expressed as $\mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg protein}^{-1}$

Enzyme	<i>E. coli</i>		Yeast		<i>D. discoideum</i>	
	Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure
Glycogen synthetase	0.21 (1)	380 (1) 505 (1)	0.016 (2)	91 (2)	0.00045 (214)	0.22 (214)
Glycogen phosphorylase	—	—	0.15 (6)	135 (6) 25 (6)	0.032 (7)	7.14 (7)
UDPG pyrophosphorylase	0.16 (10)‡	103 (10)‡	—	—	0.4 (11)	200 (11)
Glucokinase	—	—	7 (15)	79 (15)	0.011 (16)	0.51 (16)
Hexokinase	—	—	1.8 (18)	800 (18)	—	—
Phosphoglucosmutase	0.365 (20)	19 (20)	0.081 (21)	205 (21)	0.27 (22)	—
Phosphoglucosomerase	—	—	5.7 (25)	675 (25)	0.2 (26)	14.2 (26)
Phosphofructokinase	0.27 (29) 0.36 (29)	190 (29) 205 (29)	0.3 (30)	60 (30)	0.085 (31)	4.4 (31)
Aldolase	—	—	4.7 (33)	108 (33)	0.02 (22)	—
Triose P isomerase	—	—	41 (38)	10 000 (38)	—	—
GA3P dehydrogenase	0.4 (41)	40 (41)	3 (42)	155 (42)	0.46 (22)	—
3PGA kinase	0.7 (44)	98 (44)	26 (45)	945 (45)	0.42 (22)	—
PGA mutase	1.7 (44)	124 (44)	28 (49)	1077 (49)	0.14 (22)	—
Enolase	4.1 (50)	147 (50)	—	200 (51)	0.14 (22)	—
Pyruvate kinase	0.52 (53) 0.52 (53)	124 (53) 110 (53)	10 (54)	340 (54)	0.12 (22)	—
Lactate dehydrogenase	0.03 (57)	78 (57)	—	—	0.03 (22)	—
Fl,6-bisphosphatase	—	—	0.02 (58)	73 (58)	0.0036 (59)	0.0202 (59)
G6P dehydrogenase	—	—	0.27 (63)	678 (63)	0.15 (64)	—
6PG dehydrogenase	0.034 (68)	32 (68)	0.12 (69)	42 (69)	0.034 (64)	—
R5P isomerase	—	—	0.39 (71)	24 (71)	0.26 (64)	—
Ru5P 3-epimerase	—	—	0.39 (73)	262 (73)	0.024 (64)	—
Transketolase	—	—	0.3 (75)	43 (75)	0.024 (64)	—
Transaldolase	—	—	6 (78) 0.83 (78)	61 (78) 44 (78)	0.77 (64)	—

TABLE AI—continued

Enzyme	<i>E. coli</i>		Yeast		<i>D. discoideum</i>	
	Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure
Ru,5BisP Carboxylase	N.A.		N.A.		N.A.	
GallP uridyltransferase	0.86 (81)	209 (81)	0.1 (82)	688 (82)	—	—
Galactokinase	—	—	0.75 (83)	55.8 (83)	—	—
Ala transaminase	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asp transaminase	1.2 (86)	307 (86)	0.4 (86)	502 (86)	—	—
Tyr transaminase	—	—	—	—	—	—
Glu dehydrogenase (NAD)	—	—	0.09 (90)	23 (90)	—	—
(NADP)	0.29 (89)	250 (89)	0.15 (90)	89 (90)	—	—
Gln synthetase	0.5 (91)	90 (91)	—	—	—	—
Arginase	—	—	—	—	—	—
Glu decarboxylase	2.3 (95)	68 (95)	—	—	—	—
Ser dehydrase	0.36 (96)	280 (96)	—	—	—	—
GSSG reductase	0.09 (98)	320 (98)	0.15 (99)	153 (99)	—	—
Ornithine decarboxylase	0.02 (102)	99 (102)	0.00033 (103)	0.7 (103)	—	—
Arg decarboxylase	0.01 (105)	16.4 (105)	—	—	—	—
DAP decarboxylase	0.035 (106)	7.5 (106)	N.A.		N.A.	
DHPA reductase	0.16 (107)	300 (107)	N.A.		N.A.	
Asp transcarbamoylase	8 (108)	117 (108)	—	—	—	—
CarbamoylP synthetase	0.01 (109)	6 (109)	—	—	—	—
Aspartase	1.1 (111)	68 (111)	—	—	—	—
Lys decarboxylase	23 (112)	1000 (112)	—	—	—	—
3PGA dehydrogenase	0.018 (113)	6.7 (113)	—	—	—	—
UDPG 4-epimerase	—	—	0.2 (82)	21 (82)	—	—
Glycerol kinase	1.2 (114)	41 (114)	—	—	—	—
Uridine phosphorylase	—	—	—	—	0.013 (115)	7.8 (115)
PEP carboxylase	0.28 (117)	88.1 (117)	N.A.		N.A.	
Pyruvate carboxylase	—	—	0.07 (119)	30 (119)	—	—

Enzyme	<i>E. coli</i>		Yeast		<i>D. discoideum</i>	
	Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure
PDC	0.51 (121)	23 (121)	0.16 (122)	29 (122)	0.004 (123)	0.93 (123)
Citrate synthase	0.4 (124)	150 (124)	0.37 (125)	160 (125)	2.0 (126)	111 (126)
Isocitrate dehydrogenase	1.2 (129)	125 (129)	0.036 (130)	35.6 (130)	0.017 (131)	2.76 (131)
SuccinylCoA synthetase	0.54 (133)	29.4 (133)	—	—	—	—
alpha-KGDC	0.030 (135)	1.5 (135)	—	—	0.029 (136)	3.29 (136)
Succinate dehydrogenase	0.23 (212)	1.21 (212)	—	—	0.35 (138)	14 (138)
Malate dehydrogenase	6.4 (208)	542 (140)	—	—	2 (141)	550 (141)
Malic enzyme	0.1 (143)	177 (143)	—	—	0.016 (144)	0.64 (144)

† Rat muscle.

‡ ADPG pyrophosphorylase.

|| Porcine liver.

¶ Bovine heart.

N.A. = Not applicable.

Rat liver		Rabbit muscle		Human RBC		Spinach leaf	
Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure
N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—	0.35 (79)	2.3 (80)
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	0.00002 (84)	0.081 (84)	—	—
0.92 (85)	501 (85)	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.82 (87)	156 (87)	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.53 (88)	267 (88)	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.03 (92)	8.9 (92)	0.001† (93)	1.09† (93)	—	—	—	—
6 (94)	5310 (94)	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.54 (97)	278 (97)	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.069 (100)	269 (100)	—	—	0.0035 (101)	165 (101)	—	—
0.00005 (104)	20 (104)	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—
N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.45 (110)	25.6 (110)	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.002 (116)	2.4 (116)	—	—	—	—	—	—
N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—	0.03 (118)	19.9 (118)
0.09 (120)	25 (120)	—	—	—	—	—	—

Rat liver		Muscle		Pig heart		Plant	
Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure	Crude	Pure
—	—	—	—	0.0007 (215)	0.10 (215)	—	—
0.09 (127)	124 (127)	—	—	0.35 (128)	33 (128)	—	—
0.08 (206)	45.6 (206)	—	—	0.4 (132)	31.3 (132)	—	—
—	—	—	—	0.14 (134)	120 (134)	—	—
—	—	—	—	0.003 (137)	0.42 (137)	—	—
—	—	—	—	0.6 (139)	4.2-5.1 (139)	—	—
0.08 (142)	41 (142)	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.16 (145)	30 (145)	0.006† (146)	20† (146)	0.026 (209)¶	10.3 (209)¶	—	—

TABLE AII

The molecular weight of the enzyme, the number of subunits, and the molecular weight of each subunit is given units of molecular weight (MW) are in K^{Da}

Enzyme	<i>E. coli</i>		Yeast		<i>D. discoideum</i>	
	MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW
Glycogen synthetase	93 (1) 200 (1)	2-50 (1) 4-50 (1)	300 (2)	4-71 (2)	—	—
Glycogen phosphorylase	—	—	250 (6) 390 (6)	2-103 (6) 4-103 (6)	210 (7)	2-95 (7)
UDPG pyrophosphorylase	210 (10)‡	4-50 (10)‡	—	—	200 (148)	—
Glucokinase	—	—	96 (15)	2-50 (15)	—	—
Hexokinase	—	—	104 (149)	2-52 (149)	—	—
Phosphoglucomutase	62-65 (20)	—	65 (21)	2-32 (151)	—	—
Phosphoglucoisomerase	—	—	119 (152)	4-30 (152)	—	—
Phosphofruktokinase	140 (29) 148 (29)	4-35 (29) 4-37 (29)	835 (30)	4-118 (30) 4-112 (30)	—	—
Aldolase	—	—	80 (157)	2-40 (157)	—	—
Triose P isomerase	—	—	53-56 (38)	2-26 (38)	—	—
GA3P dehydrogenase	144 (41)	—	142 (42)	4-35 (42)	—	—
3PGA kinase	44 (44)	1-44 (44)	46 (48)	1-46 (48)	—	—
PGA mutase	56 (44)	—	112 (49)	4-27 (49)	—	—
Enolase	90 (50)	2-45 (50)	88 (160)	2-44 (160)	—	—
Pyruvate kinase	240 (53) 190 (54)	4-60 (53) 4-51 (54)	210 (54)	4-50 (54)	—	—
Lactate dehydrogenase	74 (57)	1-74 (57)	—	—	—	—
Fl,6-bisphosphatase	—	—	130 (58)	4-35 (58)	—	—
G6P dehydrogenase	—	—	128 (163)	4-N.G. (164)	—	—
6PG dehydrogenase	100 (68)	2-50 (58)	100 (69)	2-50 (69)	—	—
R5P isomerase	—	—	105 (165)	4-N.G. (165)	—	—
Ru5P 3-Epimerase	—	—	46 (73)	—	—	—
Transketolase	—	—	159 (76)	2-79 (76)	—	—
Transaldolase	—	—	68 (78) 65 (78)	2-34 (78) 2-32 (78)	—	—
Ru1,5BisP carboxylase	N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—
GallP uridylyltransferase	80 (81)	2-41 (81)	86 (82)	2-38 (82)	—	—
Galactokinase	—	—	58 (83)	1-58 (83)	—	—
Ala transaminase	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asp transaminase	84 (166)	2-43 (167)	90 (168)	2-45 (167)	—	—
Tyr transaminase	—	—	—	—	—	—
Glu dehydrogenase (NAD)	—	—	350 (170)	—	—	—
(NADP)	250 (89)	NG-40§ (89)	—	—	—	—
Gln synthetase	600 (91)	12-50 (91)	—	—	—	—
Arginase	—	—	—	—	—	—
Glu decarboxylase	310 (95)	6-50 (95)	—	—	—	—
Ser dehydrase	37 (96)	—	—	—	—	—
GSSG reductase	105 (98)	2-50 (98)	118 (99)	—	—	—
Ornithine decarboxylase	160 (102)	2-81 (102)	86 (103)	2-NG (103)	—	—
Arg decarboxylase	300 (105)	4-74 (105)	—	—	—	—
DAP decarboxylase	200 (106)	—	—	—	—	—
DHPA reductase	110 (107)	—	—	—	—	—

TABLE AII—continued

Enzyme	<i>E. coli</i>		Yeast		<i>D. discoideum</i>	
	MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW
Asp transcarbamoylase	220 (108)	6 (172)	—	—	—	—
CarbamoylP synthetase	163 (109)	1-130 1-42 (173)	—	—	—	—
Aspartase	193 (111)	4-50 (111)	—	—	—	—
Lys decarboxylase	800 (112)	10-80 (112)	—	—	—	—
3PGA dehydrogenase	163 (113)	4-40 (113)	—	—	—	—
UDPG 4-epimerase	—	—	183 (82)	2-78 (82)	—	—
Glycerol kinase	220 (114)	4-55 (114)	—	—	—	—
Uridine phosphorylase	—	—	—	—	—	—
PEP carboxylase	402 (117)	4-100 (117)	N.A.	—	N.A.	—
Pyruvate carboxylase	—	—	—	—	—	—

Enzyme	<i>E. coli</i>		Yeast		<i>D. discoideum</i>	
	MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW
PDC	4600 (121)	24-96 (121)	8000 (176)	40-45 (176) 40-35	—	—
Citrate synthase	280 (124)	—	—	—	110 (126)	—
Isocitrate dehydrogenase	95 (178)	2-53 (178)	300 (179)	8-40 (179)	—	—
SuccinylCoA synthetase	160 (181)	2-39 (181) 2-30 (181)	—	—	—	—
alpha-KGDC	2500 (182)	12-95 (182)	—	—	—	—
Succinate dehydrogenase	100 (212)	1-65 (213) 1-25 (213)	—	—	—	—
Malate dehydrogenase	61 (140)	2-30 (140)	—	—	70 (141)	—
Malic enzyme	200 (143)	4-54 (143)	—	—	—	—

† Rat muscle.

‡ ADPG pyrophosphorylase.

§ Subunits are inactive.

|| Porcine liver.

¶ Bovine heart.

†† dehydrogenase subunit only.

N.A. = Not applicable.

N.G. = Not given.

Rat liver		Rabbit muscle		Human RBC		Spinach leaf	
MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
316 (174)	2-160 (174)	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
103 (175)	4-26 (175)	—	—	—	—	—	—
N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—	560 (118)	4-130 (118)
—	N.G.-130 (120)	—	—	—	—	—	—

Rat liver		Muscle		Pig heart		Plant	
MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW	MW	SU-MW
—	—	—	—	3080 (215)††	40-41 (215)*	—	—
100 (127)	2-50 (127)	—	—	96 (177)	40-36 (215)	—	—
75 (207)	2-37 (207)	—	—	60 (180)	2-N.G. (177)	—	—
—	—	—	—	70 (134)	2-32 (180)	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	2-4 (134)	—	—
—	—	—	—	2000 (137)	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	97 (183)	1-70 (183)	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	1-27 (183)	—	—
66 (184)	2-N.G. (184)	—	—	—	—	—	—
268 (145)	4-67 (145)	264† (146)	4-63† (146)	200 (209)	—	—	—

TABLE AIII

The k_{cat} values and the enzyme concentrations given were calculated from the data in Tables I and II as described in the text. The k_{cat} values are expressed in units of min^{-1} and the enzyme concentrations as μM . If the molecular weight or number of subunits was not available for a particular source, a value from a closely related organism was used. These cases are indicated by footnote

Enzyme	<i>E. coli</i>		Yeast		<i>D. discoideum</i>	
	k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]
Glycogen synthetase	35 300 101 000	3 2	27 300	0.65	66	3.3§
Glycogen phosphorylase	—	—	33 750 9750	2.5 17.2	1 500	5.1
UDPG pyrophosphorylase	21 600††	7††	—	—	40 000	4.8§
Glucokinase	—	—	7600	515	(25.5)	51.8
Hexokinase	—	—	83 200	12.1	—	—
Phosphoglucomutase	2700† (20)	64§	13 300	3.4	—	—
Phosphoglucoisomerase	—	—	80 300	79.5	1700	56.5*
Phosphofructokinase	26 600 30 300	9.5 11.2	50 100	13.4	(440)	23.2
Aldolase	—	—	12 500† (33)	210	—	—
Triose P Isomerase	—	—	1×10^6 † (38)	23.0	—	—
GA3P dehydrogenase	5800	65§	60 000† (42)	56.0	—	—
3PGA kinase	4300	38	43 500† (45)	167	—	—
PGA mutase	6900	116§	120 600	260	—	—
Enolase	13 200	146	17 600	—	—	—
Pyruvate kinase	29 800 20 900	16.4 23.4	71 400	156	—	—
Lactate dehydrogenase	5800	1.2	—	—	—	—
Fl,6-bisphosphatase	—	—	9500	2.4	2.5	664.6
G6P dehydrogenase	—	—	86 800	3.5	—	—
6PG dehydrogenase	3200	5.0	4200	16.0	—	—
R5P Isomerase	—	—	12 000	173	—	—
Ru5P 3-Epimerase	—	—	12 000	9.1	—	—
Transketolase	—	—	6800	24.7	—	—
Transaldolase	—	—	4150 2900	809 63.7	—	—
Ru1,5BisPcarboxylase	N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—
GallP Uridyltransferase	16 700	24.2	59 200† (82)	0.95	—	—
Galactokinase	—	—	3300† (83)	52.3	—	—
Ala transaminase	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asp transaminase	25 800	21.9	45 200	5.0	—	—
Tyr transaminase	—	—	—	—	—	—
Glu dehydrogenase (NAD)	—	—	8050	25.0§	—	—
(NADP)	62 500	1.1	22 250	1.9	—	—
Gln synthetase	54 000	26.1	—	—	—	—
Arginase	—	—	—	—	—	—
Glu decarboxylase	21 000	154	—	—	—	—
Ser dehydrase	10 400	8.1§	—	—	—	—
GSSG reductase	33 600	1.2	18 000	4.7§	—	—
Ornithine decarboxylase	15 840	0.59	60	3.1	—	—

Rat liver		Rabbit muscle		Human RBC		Spinach leaf	
k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	(E)	k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]
9100	1.6	3000	5.2	—	—	207	4.1
—	—	15 700† (8)	102‡	—	—	8500	0.19
—	—	—	—	55 900	—	19 700¶	1.18¶
4300† (17)	0.73	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	12 000	0.47‡	—	—	—	—
—	—	73 700	28.2	—	—	—	—
—	—	125 400	33.2	110 000	0.078	—	—
—	—	61 200	42.5	57 100	0.033	—	—
2460† (34)	15.3	2133	482‡	2500	0.50	1440	20.6
—	—	2560	52.8	—	—	—	—
—	—	350 000	96.6	573 200	1.3	—	—
—	—	17 400	1195	—	—	120 000† (43)	18.2
—	—	29 210	64.4‡	30 600	1.0	32 300	11.6
—	—	45 000	115	—	—	—	—
—	—	57, -64 000	173	—	—	—	—
7900	25.4	11 160	135‡	—	—	—	—
—	—	8000	292	—	—	—	—
108, -114 400	16.4-17.4	95 000	54.7‡	82 500	0.069	—	—
—	—	72, -81 600	65-73.1	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4800-6000	29-36.5	3200	19.5	—	—	8100	1.1
—	—	—	—	—	—	17 400	0.66
27 300	4.4	—	—	(11 400†)(67)	0.035	—	—
—	—	—	—	1560† (70)	0.40	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	121 000† (72)	0.37
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
200	25.0	—	—	(567)	0.22	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—	1280	124
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	4.4	1.4	—	—
57 100	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
14 500	35.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
30 700	21.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3120-3560	21.1-24.1	381-436	4.8-5.4‡	—	—	—	—
626 600	12.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
16, -18 900	8.9-10.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
27 000† (100)	1.6	—	—	19 000	0.058	—	—
2100	0.015	—	—	—	—	—	—

TABLE AIII—continued

Enzyme	<i>E. coli</i>		Yeast		<i>D. discoideum</i>	
	k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]
Arg decarboxylase	4900	1.9	—	—	—	—
DAP decarboxylase	2000† (106)	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—
DHPA reductase	30 000† (107)	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—
Asp transcarbamoylase	100 000† (108)	84.6	—	—	—	—
Carbamoyl P synthetase	980	4.8	—	—	—	—
Aspartase	13 100	78.9	—	—	—	—
Lys decarboxylase	800 000	67.6	—	—	—	—
3PGA dehydrogenase	1100	15.4	—	—	—	—
UDPG 4-epimerase	—	—	3900† (82)	28.7	—	—
Glycerol kinase	11 600† (114)	97.2	—	—	—	—
Uridine phosphorylase	—	—	—	—	803	7.8§
PEP carboxylase	35 400	7.4	—	—	—	—
Pyruvate carboxylase	—	—	(3900)	5.0	—	—

Enzyme	<i>E. coli</i>		Yeast		<i>D. discoideum</i>	
	k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]
PDC	105 800	27.2	232 000	15.4	7440	5.2§
Citrate synthase	42 000	—	17 600	11.8§	12 200	39.3§
Isocitrate dehydrogenase	11 900	47.4	10 680	7.6	810	20.1§
SuccinylCoA synthetase	4700	108	—	—	—	—
alpha-KGDC	3750	22.6	—	—	8225	5.1§
Succinate dehydrogenase	121	89.3	—	—	—	—
Malate dehydrogenase	33 000	91.0	—	—	38 500	12.5§
Malic enzyme	35 400	2.6	—	—	172	44.6§

† Given in the reference.

‡ rat muscle.

§ Assumed number of subunits.

|| Assumed molecular weight.

¶ porcine liver.

†† bovine heart.

ADPG pyrophosphate.

(<) k_{cat} for subunit.

TABLE AIV

Intracellular metabolite concentration. Metabolite concentrations are given in μM

Substrate	<i>E. coli</i>	Yeast	<i>D. discoideum</i>	Rat liver
AcCoA	350 (185)	—	12 (186)	39 (187)
ADP	823 (189)	320-1300 (190, 191)	200 (192)	1700 (187)
ADPG	—	—	—	—
AMP	151 (189)	170-300 (191)	—	—
ATP	2641 (189)	1100-1900 (191, 190)	700 (192)	3535 (187)
Ala	—	7, -25 000 (191)	970 (186)	1255-1717 (187)
Arg	—	18 000 (191)	—	—
Asp	433-1400 (189, 185)	3, -13 000 (191)	370 (186)	1068-1717 (187)
CoA	—	—	—	180-195 (187)
Carbamoyl P	—	—	—	—
Citrate	12 990 (189)	700 (191)	60 (186)	375 (187)
Citrulline	—	5000 (191)	—	—
DAP	—	—	—	—

Rat liver		Rabbit muscle		Human RBC		Spinach leaf	
k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—
N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—	N.A.	—
8100	34.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
247	10.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	11 110	0.62
(3800†) (120)	7.4	—	—	—	—	—	—

Rat liver		Muscle		Pig heart		Plant	
k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]	k_{cat}	[E]
—	—	—	—	308	47.3	—	—
13 000† (127)	4.3	—	—	17 000† (177)	10.7	—	—
3400¶	14.6¶	—	—	1900	109	—	—
—	—	—	—	8400	17.3	—	—
—	—	—	—	840	11.1§	—	—
—	—	—	—	(10 000†) (139)	15.6	—	—
2700	18.5	—	—	7400	154	—	—
8040	24.9	5280	1.2‡	2060††	13.1§††	—	—

Rat muscle	Human RBC	Mung bean seedling	Rat heart	Rabbit RBC
1.3 (187)	—	—	9.6 (188)	—
1059 (187)	126 (193)	—	876-1292 (194, 187)	500 (195)
—	—	200† (211)	—	—
—	50 (193)	10.9 (196)	123 (194)	60 (195)
3075 (187)	1130 (193)	10.9 (196)	1000-5600 (197, 187)	1700 (195)
—	—	—	996-2453 (198, 188)	—
—	—	—	394 (198)	—
—	—	—	1340-3504 (188, 198)	—
1.7 (sheep) (187)	—	—	43-80 (199, 187)	—
—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	70-387 (197, 187)	138 (rat) (187)

TABLE AIV—continued

Substrate	<i>E. coli</i>	Yeast	<i>D. discoideum</i>	Rat liver
DHPA	—	—	—	—
DHAP	203 (189)	330 (190)	100 (200)	40-50 (187)
E4P	—	—	—	—
F6P	—	650 (190)	71 (64)	75-100 (187)
F1,6-bisphosphate	1900 (185)	1700-4500 (190, 191)	50 (64)	23-39 (187)
Fumarate	—	—	30 (186)	108 (187)
GTP	700 (185)	—	—	—
Gal	—	—	—	—
Gal 1P	—	—	—	—
Glucose	—	—	500 (192)	9860-10 200 (187)
G 1P	—	≤100 (191)	20 (192)	16 (187)
G 6P	801 (189)	2300 (190)	216 (64)	231-284 (187)
Glu	17 363 (189)	15, -35 000 (191)	1200 (186)	3480 (187)
Gln	—	15, -35 000 (191)	—	5110-7260 (187)
GSSG	—	—	—	—
GA3P	—	400-1200 (201)	10	16 (187)
Glycerol3P	195 (189)	—	—	202-1010 (187)
Glycogen	—	—	3420† (192)	36 700† (187)
Isocitrate	—	—	—	29 (187)
alpha-KG	476 (189)	200-5000 (191, 190)	10 (186)	202 (187)
Lactate	—	—	—	2340 (187)
Leu	—	—	—	—
Lys	—	—	—	—
Malate	900-1559 (185, 189)	—	208 (186)	491 (187)
NAD	1256-2078 (210)	1000-1600 (191)	25 (204)	1097 (187)
NADP	—	20-150 (191)	25 (204)	97 (187)
NADPH	—	50-150 (191)	30 (204)	433 (187)
NH ₃	—	30 000 (191)	—	678 (187)
OAA	—	<50 (191)	1 (186)	10 (187)
Ornithine	—	7000 (191)	—	—
Pi	—	22 000 (190)	3000-30 000 (192)	4863-5671 (187, 205)
PEP	91-300 (189, 185)	<30 (190)	—	143 (187)
6PG	—	100-300 (191)	18 (64)	27 (187)
2PGA	—	420-1100 (201)	—	49 (187)
3PGA	—	100-260 (201)	—	410 (187)
PP _i	—	—	—	14-22 (187)
Pyruvate	390 (189)	1600 (190)	60 (186)	187 (187)
R 5P	—	—	26 (64)	—
Ru 5P	—	—	24 (64)	—
Ser	—	—	—	3708 (rabbit) (205)
Succinate	—	—	1670 (186)	1068 (187)
Tyr	—	—	—	—
UDPG	1299 (189)	300 (191)	330 (192)	330 (187)
Uridine	—	—	—	—
Xyu 5P	—	—	14 (64)	—

† Spinach.

‡ Site concentration, assuming average of one site/12 glucose units.

N.D. = Not detected.

Rat muscle	Human RBC	Mung bean seedling	Rat heart	Rabbit RBC
—	—	—	—	—
40-46 (187)	12 (193)	0.7 (196)	12 (201)	10 (195)
—	—	1.8 (196)	—	—
362 (187)	11 (193)	8.4 (196)	19 (197)	11 (195)
46 (187)	5 (193)	1 (196)	8.5 (197)	7 (195)
—	—	—	105 (188)	—
—	—	—	—	230 (195)
—	—	—	—	N.D. (195)
—	—	—	—	—
2377 (187)	—	—	762 (187)	6170 (195)
65 (187)	—	—	36 (frog) (187)	6 (195)
1033 (187)	27 (193)	36 (196)	136 (201)	62 (195)
2067 (187)	—	—	4525-6132 (188, 202)	—
—	—	—	4786 (198)	—
—	—	—	—	5.6 (195)
21 (203)	4 (193)	0.6 (196)	3 (302)	3 (195)
168 (187)	—	—	78 (187)	—
1500† (187)	—	—	633† (197)	—
—	—	—	34 (187)	—
78 (187)	—	—	70-143 (202, 188)	—
2660-6700 (187)	—	—	4790 (188)	3810 (195)
—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	721 (198)	—
129 (187)	—	—	180-400 (20, 188)	—
310 (187)	—	—	426 (187)	—
—	—	—	5.8 (187)	22 (195)
—	—	—	120 (187)	—
349 (187)	—	—	—	—
26 (187)	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—
5500 (187)	—	—	4250 (187)	50 (195)
8 (187)	12 (193)	—	4 (201)	10 (195)
—	—	0.4 (196)	—	7.5 (195)
5 (203)	7 (193)	—	3 (201)	5 (195)
40-48 (187)	48 (193)	—	26 (201)	46 (195)
—	—	—	—	N.D. (195)
56 (187)	—	—	39-260 (187, 188)	90 (195)
—	—	4.4 (196)	—	trace (195)
—	—	0.7 (196)	—	120 (195)
—	—	—	344 (198)	—
—	—	—	496 (188)	—
—	—	—	—	—
43 (187)	—	—	—	50 (195)
—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—

References (to Tables AI, AII and AIV)

1. FOX, J., KAWAGUCHI, K., GREENBERG, E. & PREISS, J. (1976). Biosynthesis of bacterial glycogen. Purification and properties of *Escherichia coli* B ADP-glucose: 1,4- α -D-glucan 4- α -glucosyl transferase. *Biochemistry* **15**, 849-857.
2. HUANG, K. & CABIB, E. (1974). Yeast glycogen synthetase in the glucose-6-phosphate dependent form. I. Purification and properties. *J. biol. Chem.* **249**, 3851-3857.
3. LIN, D. C. & SEGAL, H. L. (1973). Homogenous glycogen synthetase *b* from rat liver. *J. biol. Chem.* **248**, 7007-7011.
4. BROWN, N. E. & LARNER, J. (1971). Molecular characteristics of the totally dependent and independent forms of glycogen synthetase of rabbit skeletal muscle. I. Preparation and characteristics of the totally glucose 6-phosphate dependent form. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **242**, 69-80.
5. HAWKER, J. S., OZBUN, J. L., OZAKI, H., GREENBERG, E. & PREISS, J. (1974). Interaction of spinach leaf adenosine diphosphate glucose α -1,4-glucan α -4-glucosyl transferase and α -1,4-glucan, α -1,4-glucan-6-glycosyl transferase in synthesis of branched α -glucan. *Arch. biochem. Biophys.* **160**, 530-551.
6. FOSSET, M., MUIR, L. W., NIELSON, L. & FISCHER, E. H. (1972). Glycogen phosphorylases *a* and *b* from yeast. *Meth. Enzymol.* **28**, 960-963.
7. THOMAS, D. A. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1976). Glycogen phosphorylase in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. I. Purification and properties of the enzyme. *J. biol. Chem.* **251**, 1253-1257.
8. SEVILLA, C. L. & FISCHER, E. H. (1969). The purification and properties of rat muscle glycogen phosphorylase. *Biochemistry* **8**, 2161-2171.
9. PREISS, J., OKITA, T. W. & GREENBERG, E. (1980). Characterization of the spinach leaf phosphorylases. *Plant Physiol.* **66**, 864-869.
10. OZAKI, H. & PREISS, J. (1972). ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase from *Escherichia coli*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **28**, 539-544.
11. GUSTAFSON, G. L., KONG, W. Y. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1973). Analysis of uridine diphosphate-glucose pyrophosphorylase synthesis during differentiation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *J. biol. Chem.* **248**, 5188-5196.
12. VILLAR-PALASI, C. & LARNER, J. (1963). UDPG pyrophosphorylase from muscle. *Meth. Enzymol.* **6**, 355-359.
13. TSUBA, K. K., FUKUNAGA, K. & PETRICCIANI, J. C. (1969). Purification and specific kinetic properties of erythrocyte uridine diphosphate pyrophosphorylase. *J. biol. Chem.* **244**, 1008-1015.
14. RIBEREAU-GAYON, G. & PREISS, J. (1971). ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase from spinach leaf. *Meth. Enzymol.* **23**, 618-624.
15. MAITRA, P. K. (1975). Glucokinase from yeast. *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 25-30.
16. BAUMANN, P. (1969). Glucokinase of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Biochemistry* **8**, 5011-5015.
17. GROSSMAN, S. H., DORN, C. G. & POTTER, V. R. (1974). The preparation and characterization of pure rat liver glucokinase. *J. biol. Chem.* **249**, 3055-3060.
18. LAZARUS, N. R., RAMEL, A. H., RUSTUM, Y. M. & BARNARD, E. A. (1966). Yeast hexokinase. I. Preparation of the pure enzyme. *Biochemistry* **5**, 4003-4016.
19. EASTERBY, J. S. & QADRI, S. S. (1982). Hexokinase Type II from rat skeletal muscle. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 11-15.
20. JOSHI, J. G. & HANDLER, P. (1964). Phosphoglucomutase I. Purification and properties of phosphoglucomutase from *Escherichia coli*. *J. biol. Chem.* **239**, 2741-2751.
21. JOSHI, J. G. (1982). Phosphoglucomutase from yeast. *Meth. Enzymol.* **89**, 599-605.
22. CLELAND, S. V. & COE, E. L. (1968). Activities of glycolytic enzymes during the early stages of differentiation in the cellular slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **156**, 44-50.
23. SCOPES, R. K. & STOTER, A. (1982). Purification of all glycolytic enzymes from one muscle extract. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 479-490.
24. RAY, JR., W. J., SZYMANKI, S. & NGI, L. (1978). The binding of lithium and of anionic metabolites to phosphoglucomutase. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **522**, 434-442.
25. NAKGAWA, Y. & NOLTMANN, E. A. (1965). Isolation of crystalline phosphoglucose isomerase from brewer's yeast. *J. biol. Chem.* **240**, 1877-1881.
26. THOMAS, D. A. (1981). Partial purification and characterization of glucose-6-phosphate isomerase from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *J. gen. Microbiol.* **124**, 403-407.
27. PHILLIPS, T. L., TALENT, J. M. & GRACY, R. W. (1976). Isolation of rabbit muscle glucose phosphate isomerase by a single-step substrate elution. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **429**, 624-628.
28. TILLEY, B. E., GRACY, R. W. & WELCH, S. G. (1974). A point mutation increasing the stability of human phosphoglucose isomerase. *J. biol. Chem.* **249**, 4571-4579.

29. KOTLARZ, D. & BUC, H. (1982). Phosphofructokinases from *Escherichia coli*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 60-70.
30. HOFMANN, E. & KOPPERSCHLAGER, G. (1982). Phosphofructokinase from yeast. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 49-60.
31. BAUMANN, P. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1968). The phosphofructokinase of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Biochemistry* **7**, 3653-3661.
32. WENZEL, K. W., GAUER, J., ZIMMERMAN, G. & HOFMANN, E. (1972). Purification of human erythrocyte phosphofructokinase. *FEBS Lett.* **19**, 281-284.
33. RUTTER, W. J., HUNSLEY, J. R., GROVES, W. E., CALDER, J., RAJKOMAR, T. V. & WOODFIN, B. M. (1966). Fructose diphosphate aldolase. *Meth. Enzymol.* **9**, 479-498.
34. GRACY, R. W., LACKO, A. G., BROX, L. W., ADELMAN, R. C. & HORECKER, B. L. (1970). Structural relationships in aldolases purified from rat liver and muscle and Novikoff hepatoma. *Arch. biochem. Biophys.* **136**, 480-490.
35. PENHOET, E. E. & RUTTER, W. S. (1975). Detection and isolation of mammalian fructose-diphosphate aldolases. *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 240-249.
36. YELTMAN, D. R. & HARRIS, B. G. (1982). Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase from human erythrocytes. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 251-254.
37. HORECKER, B. L. (1975). Fructose bisphosphate aldolase from spinach. *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 234-239.
38. KRIETSCH, W. K. G. (1975). Triosephosphate isomerase from yeast. *Meth. Enzymol.* **41**, 434-438.
39. ESNOUF, M. P., HARRIS, R. P. & MCVITTIE, J. D. (1982). Triosephosphate isomerase from chicken and rabbit muscle. *Meth. Enzymol.* **89**, 579-583.
40. GRACY, R. W. (1975). Triose phosphate isomerase from human erythrocytes. *Meth. Enzymol.* **41**, 442-447.
41. D'ALESSIO, G. & JOSSE, J. (1971). Glycerldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase, phosphoglycerate kinase, and phosphoglyceromutase of *Escherichia coli*. *J. biol. Chem.* **242**, 4319-4325.
42. BYERS, L. D. (1982). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase from yeast. *Meth. Enzymol.* **89**, 326-335.
43. SPERANZA, M. L. & FERRI, G. (1982). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (glycolytic form) from spinach leaves. *Meth. Enzymol.* **89**, 316-319.
44. D'ALESSIO, G. & JOSSE, J. (1975). Phosphoglycerate kinase and phosphoglycerate mutase from *Escherichia coli*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 139-144.
45. KULBE, K. D. & BOJANOVSKI, M. (1982). 3-phosphoglycerate kinase from bovine liver and yeast. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 115-120.
46. KUNTZ, G. W. K. & KRIETSCH, W. K. G. (1982). Phosphoglycerate kinase from animal tissues. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 103-110.
47. SCOPES, R. K. (1975). 3-phosphoglycerate kinase of skeletal muscle. *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 127-134.
48. KUNTZ, G. W. K. & KRIETSCH, W. K. G. (1982). Phosphoglycerate kinase from spinach, blue-green algae, and yeast. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 110-114.
49. GRISOLIA, S. & CARRERAS, J. (1975). Phosphoglycerate mutase from yeast, chicken breast muscle, and kidney (2, 3-PGA-dependent). *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 435-450.
50. SPRING, T. G. & WOLD, F. (1975). Enolase from *Escherichia coli*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 323-329.
51. WESTHEAD, E. W. (1966). Enolase from yeast and rabbit muscle. *Meth. Enzymol.* **9**, 670-679.
52. RIDER, C. C. & TAYLOR, C. B. (1974). Enolase isoenzymes in rat tissue. Electrophoretic, chromatographic, immunological and kinetic properties. *Biochem. biophys. Acta* **365**, 285-300.
53. MALCOVATI, M. & VALENTINI, G. (1982). AMP- and Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate- activated pyruvate kinases from *Escherichia coli*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 170-179.
54. AUST, A., YUN, S. & SUELTER, C. H. (1975). Pyruvate kinase from yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*). *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 176-182.
55. IMAMURA, K. & TANAKA, T. (1982). Pyruvate kinase isoenzymes from rat. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 150-165.
56. KAHN, A. & MARIE, J. (1982). Pyruvate kinases from human erythrocytes and liver. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 131-140.
57. KACZOROWSKI, G., KOHN, L. D. & KABACK, H. R. (1978). Purification and properties of D-lactate dehydrogenase from *Escherichia coli* ML 308-225. *Meth. Enzymol.* **53**, 519-527.
58. PONTREMOLI, S. & TRANIELLO, S. (1975). Fructose 1,6-diphosphatase and sedoheptulose-1,7-diphosphate from *Candida utilis*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 347-353.
59. BAUMANN, P. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1969). The fructose-1,6-diphosphatase of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Biochemistry* **8**, 1655-1659.
60. MARCUS, F., RITTENHOUSE, J., CHATTERJEE, T. & HOSEY, M. M. (1982). Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase from rat liver. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 352-357.

61. MACGREGOR, J. S., ANNAMALAI, A. E., VAN TOL, A., BLACK, W. J. & HORECKER, B. L. (1982). Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase from chicken and rabbit muscle. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 340-345.
62. KELLY, G. J., ZIMMERMANN, G. & LATZKO, E. (1982). Fructose-bisphosphatase from spinach leaf chloroplast and cytoplasm. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 371-378.
63. KUBY, S. A. & NOLTMANN, E. A. (1966). Glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (brewer's yeast). *Meth. Enzymol.* **9**, 116-125.
64. THOMAS, D. A. (1979). Pentose phosphate metabolism during differentiation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *J. gen. Microbiol.* **113**, 357-368.
65. MATSUDA, T. & YUGARI, Y. (1967). Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase from rat liver. I. Crystallization and properties. *J. Biochem.* **61**, 535-540.
66. HOLTEN, D. (1972). Relationships among the multiple molecular forms of rat liver glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **268**, 4-12.
67. COHEN, P. & ROSEMEYER, M. A. (1975). Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase from human erythrocytes. *Meth. Enzymol.* **41**, 208-214.
68. VERONESE, F. M., BOCCU, E. & FONTANA, A. (1976). Isolation and properties of 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase from *Escherichia coli*. Some comparisons with the thermophilic enzyme from *Bacillus stearothermophilus*. *Biochemistry* **15**, 4026-4033.
69. RIPPA, M. & SISNOTINI, M. (1975). 6-phosphogluconate from *Candida utilis*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **41**, 237-240.
70. PEARSE, B. M. F. & ROSEMEYER, M. A. (1975). 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase from human erythrocytes. *Meth. Enzymol.* **41**, 220-226.
71. DOMAGK, G. F. & DOERING, K. M. (1975). Ribose-5-phosphate isomerase from *Candida utilis*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **41**, 427-429.
72. RUTNER, A. C. (1970). Spinach 5-phosphoribose isomerase. Purification and properties of the enzyme. *Biochemistry* **9**, 178-184.
73. WILLIAMSON, W. T. & WOOD, W. A. (1966). Ribulose 5-phosphate 3-epimerase. *Meth. Enzymol.* **9**, 605-608.
74. TABACHNICK, M., SRERE, P. A., COOPER, J. & RACKER, E. (1958). The oxidative pentose phosphate cycle. III. Interconversion of ribose 5-phosphate, ribulose 5-phosphate and xylulose 5-phosphate. *Arch. biochem. Biophys.* **74**, 315-325.
75. WOOD, T. (1981). The preparation of transketolase free from D-ribulose-5-phosphate 3-epimerase. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **659**, 233-243.
76. KOCHETOV, G. A. (1982). Transketolase from yeast, rat liver, and pig liver. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 209-223.
77. SCHELLENBERG, G. D., WILSON, N. M., COPELAND, B. R. & FURLONG, C. E. (1982). Transketolase from human red blood cells. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 223-228.
78. TSOLAS, O. & JORIS, L. (1975). Transaldolase. *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 290-297.
79. SIEGEL, M. I. & LANE, M. D. (1975). Ribulose-diphosphate carboxylase from spinach leaves. *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 234-239.
80. MCCURRY, S. D., GEE, R. & TOLBERT, N. E. (1982). Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase from spinach, tomato, or tobacco leaves. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 515-521.
81. SAITO, S., OZUTSUMI, M. & KURAHASHI, K. (1967). Galactose 1-phosphate uridylyltransferase of *Escherichia coli*. *J. biol. Chem.* **242**, 2362-2368.
82. FUKASAWA, T. & NOGI, Y. (1982). Uridine diphosphate glucose-4-epimerase and uridylyltransferase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **89**, 584-592.
83. WILSON, D. B. & SCHELL, M. A. (1982). Galactokinase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **90**, 30-35.
84. BLUME, K. G. & BUTLER, E. (1971). Purification and properties of galactokinase from human red blood cells. *J. biol. Chem.* **246**, 6507-6510.
85. SEGAL, H. L. & MATSUZAWA, T. (1970). L-alanine aminotransferase (rat liver). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17A**, 153-159.
86. PORTER, P. B., BARRA, D., BOSSA, F., CANTALUPO, G., DOONAN, S., MARTINI, F., SHEEHAN, D. & WILKINSON, S. M. (1981). Purification and basic properties of the aspartate aminotransferases from a variety of sources. *Comp. biochem. Physiol.* **69B**, 737-746.
87. HUYNH, Q., SAKAKIBARA, R., WATANABE, T. & WADA, H. (1980). Glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase isoenzymes from rat liver. Purification and physicochemical characterization. *J. Biochem.* **88**, 231-239.
88. CORANER, D. K. & TOMKINS, G. M. (1970). Tyrosine aminotransferase (rat liver). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17A**, 633-637.
89. VERONESE, F. M., BOCCU, E. & CONVENTI, L. (1975). Glutamate dehydrogenase from *Escherichia coli*: induction, purification and properties. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **377**, 217-228.

90. DOHERTY, D. (1970). Glutamate dehydrogenase (yeast). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17A**, 850-856.
91. SHAPIRO, B. M. and STADTMAN, E. R. (1970). Glutamine synthetase (*Escherichia coli*). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17A**, 910-921.
92. MEISTER, A. (1985). Glutamine synthetase from mammalian tissues. *Meth. Enzymol.* **113**, 185-199.
93. ROWE, W. B. (1985). Glutamine synthetase from muscle. *Meth. Enzymol.* **113**, 199-212.
94. SCHIMKE, R. T. (1970). Arginase (rat liver). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17A**, 313-317.
95. FONDA, M. L. (1983). L-glutamate decarboxylase from bacteria. *Meth. Enzymol.* **113**, 11-16.
96. ROBINSON, W. G. & LABOW, R. (1971). D-Serine-dehydrase (*Escherichia coli*). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17B**, 356-360.
97. SIMON, D., HOSHINS, J. & KROGER, H. (1971). L-serine dehydrase from rat liver. Purification and some properties. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **321**, 361-368.
98. WILLIAMS, JR., C. H. & ARSCOTT, L. D. (1971). Glutathione reductase. *Meth. Enzymol.* **17B**, 503-509.
99. COLMAN, R. J. (1971). Glutathione reductase (yeast). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17B**, 500-503.
100. CARLBERG, I. & MANNERVIK, B. (1985). Glutathione reductase. *Meth. Enzymol.* **113**, 484-490.
101. STAAL, G. E. J., VISSER, J. & VEEGER, C. (1969). Purification and properties of glutathione reductase of human erythrocytes. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **350**, 39-48.
102. MORRIS, D. R. & BOIKER, E. A. (1983). Biosynthetic and biodegradative ornithine and arginine decarboxylases from *Escherichia coli*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **94**, 125-134.
103. TYAGI, A. K., TABOR, C. W. & TABOR, H. (1983). Ornithine decarboxylase (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*). *Meth. Enzymol.* **94**, 135-139.
104. HAYASHI, S. & KAMEJI, T. (1983). Ornithine decarboxylase (rat liver). *Meth. Enzymol.* **94**, 154-158.
105. BOEKER, E. A. & SHELL, E. E. (1971). Arginine decarboxylase (*Escherichia coli*). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17B**, 657-662.
106. WHITE, P. J. (1971). Diaminopimelate decarboxylase (*Escherichia coli*). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17B**, 140-145.
107. TAMIR, H. (1971). Dihydrodipicolinic acid reductase (*Escherichia coli*). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17B**, 134-139.
108. SHEPHERDSON, M. & PARDEE, A. B. (1962). Aspartate transcarbamoyl from *Escherichia coli*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **5**, 925-931.
109. ANDERSON, P. M., WELLNER, V. P., ROSENTHAL, G. A. & MEISTER, A. (1970). Carbamoyl phosphate synthetase (*Escherichia coli*). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17A**, 235-243.
110. GUTHORLEIN, G. and KNAPPE, J. (1968). Structure and function of carbamoylphosphate synthetase. I. Transitions between two catalytically inactive forms and the active form. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **7**, 119-127.
111. TOKUSHIGI, M. (1985). Aspartate ammonialyase. *Meth. Enzymol.* **113**, 618-627.
112. BOEKER, E. A. & FISCHER, E. H. (1983). Lysine decarboxylase (*Escherichia coli*). *Meth. Enzymol.* **94**, 180-184.
113. PIZER, L. I. & SUGIMOTO, E. (1971). 3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (*Escherichia coli*). *Meth. Enzymol.* **17B**, 325-331.
114. THORNER, J. W. (1975). Glycerol kinase. *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 148-156.
115. ALBE, K. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1989). Purification and kinetic characterization of uridine phosphorylase from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Exp. Mycol.* **13**, 13-19.
116. KRAUT, A. & YAMADA, E. W. (1971). Cytoplasmic uridine phosphorylase of rat liver: characterization and kinetics. *J. biol. Chem.* **246**, 2021-2030.
117. SMITH, T. E. (1971). *Escherichia coli* phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase: physical and chemical properties. *J. biol. Chem.* **246**, 4234-4241.
118. MIZIORKO, H. M., NOWAK, T. & MILDVAN, A. S. (1974). Spinach leaf phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase: purification, properties, and kinetic studies. *Arch. biochem. Biophys.* **163**, 378-398.
119. YOUNG, M. R., TOLBERT, B. & UTTER, M. F. (1969). Pyruvate carboxylase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **13**, 250-258.
120. MCCLURE, W. R., LARDY, H. A. & KNEIFEL, H. P. (1971). Rat liver pyruvate carboxylase. I. Preparation, properties, and cation specificity. *J. biol. Chem.* **246**, 3569-3578.
121. VISSER, J. & STRATING, M. (1982). Pyruvate dehydrogenase from *Escherichia coli*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **89**, 391-399.
122. KRESZE, G. & RONFT, H. (1981). Pyruvate dehydrogenase complex from baker's yeast. 1. Purification and some kinetic and regulatory properties. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **119**, 573-579.
123. BUTLER, M. H., MELL, G. P. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1985). The pyruvate dehydrogenase complex in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Curr. Top. cell. Regul.* **26**, 337-346.

124. FALOONA, G. R. & SRERE, P. A. (1969). *Escherichia coli* citrate synthase. Purification and the effect of potassium on some properties. *Biochemistry* **8**, 4497-4503.
125. PARVIN, R. (1969). Citrate synthase from yeast. *Meth. Enzymol.* **13**, 16-19.
126. PORTER, J. S. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1977). Partial purification of citrate synthase from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Arch. biochem. Biophys.* **181**, 155-163.
127. MORIYAMA, T. & SRERE, P. A. (1971). Purification of rat heart and rat liver citrate synthases: physical, kinetic, and immunological studies. *J. biol. Chem.* **246**, 3217-3223.
128. SRERE, P. A. (1969). Citrate synthase. *Meth. Enzymol.* **13**, 3-11.
129. HY, M. & REEVES, H. C. (1976). NADP⁺-specific isocitrate dehydrogenase of *Escherichia coli*. III. Two-step purification employing affinity chromatography. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **445**, 280-285.
130. KORNBERG, A. (1955). Isocitrate dehydrogenase of yeast (DPN). *Meth. Enzymol.* **1**, 707-709.
131. EMYANITOFF, R. G. (1982). Purification and characterization of NAD-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Exp. Mycology* **6**, 274-282.
132. CLELAND, W. W., THOMPSON, V. W. & BARDON, R. E. (1969). Isocitrate dehydrogenase (TPN-specific) from pig heart. *Meth. Enzymol.* **13**, 30-33.
133. GIBSON, J., UPPER, C. D. & GUNSALUS, I. C. (1967). Succinyl coenzyme A synthetase from *Escherichia coli*. I. Purification and properties. *J. biol. Chem.* **242**, 2474-2477.
134. CHA, S. (1969). Succinate thiokinase from pig heart. *Meth. Enzymol.* **13**, 62-69.
135. REED, L. J. & MUKHERJEE, B. B. (1969). α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complex from *Escherichia coli*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **13**, 55-61.
136. HECKERT, L. L., BUTLER, M. H. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1989). Purification and characterization of α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complex from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *J. gen. Microbiol.* **135**, 155-161.
137. KAUFMAN, S. (1955). α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase system and phosphorylating enzyme from heart muscle. *Meth. Enzymol.* **1**, 714-722.
138. BUTLER, M. H. (Unpublished data).
139. VEEGER, C., DERVARTANIAN, D. V. & ZEYLEMAKER, W. P. (1969). Succinate dehydrogenase. *Meth. Enzymol.* **13**, 81-90.
140. MURPHY, W. H. & KITTO, G. B. (1969). Malate dehydrogenase from *Escherichia coli*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **13**, 145-150.
141. EMYANITOFF, R. G. & KELLY, P. J. (1982). Kinetic characterization of mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *J. gen. Microbiol.* **128**, 1767-1771.
142. WADA, F., NUMATA, N., EGUCHI, Y. & SAKAMOTO, Y. (1975). Crystallization and properties of rat liver malate dehydrogenase (decarboxylating) (NADP⁺). *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **410**, 237-242.
143. YAMAGUSHI, M., TOKUSHIGE, M. & KATSUKI, H. (1973). Studies on regulatory functions of malic enzyme. II. Purification and molecular properties of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-linked malic enzyme from *Escherichia coli*. *J. Biochem.* **73**, 169-180.
144. KELLEHER, J. K., KELLY, P. J. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1979). Amino acid catabolism and malic enzyme in differentiating *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *J. Bact.* **138**, 467-474.
145. LI, J. J., ROSS, C. R., TEPPERMAN, H. M. & TEPPERMAN, J. (1975). Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate—malic enzyme of rat liver. *J. biol. Chem.* **250**, 141-148.
146. SWEIERCZYNSKI, J. (1980). Purification and some properties of extramitochondrial malic enzyme from rat skeletal muscle. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **616**, 10-21.
147. SMITH, C. H., BROWN, N. E. & LARNER, J. (1971). Molecular characteristics of the totally dependent and independent forms of glycogen synthase of rabbit skeletal muscle. II. Some chemical characteristics of the enzyme protein and of its change on interconversion. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **242**, 81-88.
148. GUSTAFSON, G. L. (Personal communication).
149. BARNARD, E. A. (1975). Hexokinases from yeast. *Meth. Enzymol.* **42**, 6-20.
150. CHOU, A. & WILSON, J. (1972). Purification and properties of rat brain hexokinase. *Arch. biochem. Biophys.* **151**, 48-55.
151. DAUGHERTY, J. P., KRAEMER, W. F. & JOSHI, J. G. (1975). Purification and properties of phosphoglucomutase from Fleischmann's yeast. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **57**, 115-126.
152. LOWE, S. L. & REITHEL, F. J. (1974). The subunit structure of phosphoglucose isomerase from baker's yeast. *Fed. Proc., Fed. Am. Soc. exp. Biol.* **33**, 1478.
153. NOLTMAN, E. A. (1971). Aldose-ketose isomerases. In: *The Enzymes* (Boyer, P. A., ed.) Vol. IV, pp. 271-380, 3rd ed., New York: Academic Press.
154. GRACY, R. W. & TILLEY, B. E. (1975). Phosphoglucose isomerase of human erythrocytes and cardiac tissue. *Meth. Enzymol.* **41**, 392-400.

155. LEONARD, K. R. & WALKER, I. O. (1972). The self-association of rabbit-muscle phosphofructokinase. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **26**, 442-448.
156. WENZEL, K. W., GAUER, J., ZIMMERMAN, G. & HOFMANN, E. (1972). Evidence for different oligomeric forms of human erythrocyte phosphofructokinase. *FEBS Lett* **19**, 285-289.
157. HARRIS, C. E., KOBES, R. D., TELLER, D. C. & RUTTER, W. J. (1969). The molecular characteristics of yeast aldolase. *Biochemistry* **8**, 2442-2454.
158. KAWAHARA, K. & TANFORD, C. (1966). The number of polypeptide chains in rabbit muscle aldolase. *Biochemistry* **5**, 1578-1584.
159. SCHEEK, R. M. & SLATER, E. C. (1982). Glyceraldehyde-3 phosphate dehydrogenase from rabbit muscle. *Meth. Enzymol.* **89**, 305-309.
160. MANN, K. G., CASTELLINO, F. J. & HARGRAVE, P. A. (1970). Molecular weight and subunit structure of yeast enolase. *Biochemistry* **9**, 4002-4007.
161. SHIMIZU, A., SUZUKI, F. & KATO, K. (1983). Characterization of $\alpha\alpha$, $\beta\beta$, $\delta\delta$, and $\alpha\delta$ human enolase isoenzymes and preparation of hybrid enolases ($\alpha\delta$, $\beta\delta$, and $\alpha\beta$) from homodimeric forms. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **748**, 278-284.
162. STEINMETZ, M. A. & DEAL, JR., W. C. (1966). Metabolic control and structure of glycolytic enzymes. III. Dissociation and subunit structure of rabbit muscle pyruvate kinase. *Biochemistry* **5**, 1399-1405.
163. ANDREWS, P. (1965). The gel-filtration behavior of proteins related to their molecular weights over a wide range. *Biochem. J.* **96**, 595-606.
164. DOMAGK, G. F. & CHILLA, R. (1975). Glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenases from *Candida utilis*. *Meth. Enzymol.* **41**, 205-208.
165. DOMAGK, G. F., DOERING, K. M. & CHILLA, R. (1973). Purification and properties of ribose-phosphate isomerase from *Candida utilis*. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **38**, 259-264.
166. YAGI, T., KAGAMIYAMA, H., NOZAKI, M. & SODA, K. (1985). Glutamate-aspartate aminotransferase from microorganisms. *Meth. Enzymol.* **113**, 83-89.
167. DOONAN, S., BARRA, D., BOSSA, F., PORTER, P. & WILKINSON, S. M. (1981). Interspecies comparisons of aspartate aminotransferases based on amino acid compositions. *Comp. biochem. Physiol.* **69B**, 747-752.
168. YAGI, T., KAGAMIYAMA, H. & NOZAKI, M. (1982). Aspartate:2-oxoglutarate aminotransferase from baker's yeast. *J. Biochem.* **92**, 35-43.
169. AURICCHIO, F., VALERISTE, F., TOMKINS, G. & RILEY, W. D. (1970). Studies on the structure of tyrosine aminotransferase. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **221**, 307-313.
170. HEMMINGS, B. A. & SIMS, A. P. (1977). The regulation of glutamate metabolism in *Candida utilis*: evidence for two interconvertible forms of NAD-dependent glutamate dehydrogenase. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **80**, 143-151.
171. HIRSCH-KOLB, H. & GREENBERG, D. M. (1968). Molecular characteristics of rat liver arginase. *J. biol. Chem.* **243**, 6123-6129.
172. COHLBERG, J. A., PIGIET, JR., V. P. & SCHACHMAN, H. K. (1972). Structure and arrangement of the regulatory subunits in aspartate transcarbamylase. *Biochemistry* **11**, 3396-3411.
173. TROTTA, P. R., BURT, M. E., PINKUS, L. M., ESTIS, L. F., HASCHEMEYER, R. H. & MEISTER, A. (1978). Glutamine-dependent carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase (*Escherichia coli*): preparation of subunits. *Meth. Enzymol.* **51**, 21-29.
174. VIRDEN, R. (1972). The molecular weight of two forms of carbamoylphosphate synthetase from rat liver. *Biochem. J.* **127**, 503-508.
175. BOSE, R. & YAMADA, E. W. (1974). Uridine phosphorylase, molecular properties and mechanism of catalysis. *Biochemistry* **13**, 2051-2056.
176. KRESZE, G. & RONFT, H. (1981). Pyruvate dehydrogenase complex from baker's yeast. 2. Molecular structure, dissociation, and implications for the origin of mitochondria. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **119**, 581-587.
177. SINGH, M., BROOKS, G. C. & SRERE, P. A. (1970). Subunit structure and chemical characteristics of pig heart citrate synthase. *J. biol. Chem.* **245**, 4636-4640.
178. VASQUEZ, B. & REEVES, H. C. (1979). NADP-specific isocitrate dehydrogenase of *Escherichia coli*. IV. Purification by chromatography on affi-gel blue. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **578**, 31-40.
179. BARNES, L. D., KUEHN, G. D. & ATKINSON, D. E. (1971). Yeast diphosphopyridine nucleotide specific isocitrate dehydrogenase. Purification and some properties. *Biochemistry* **10**, 3939-3944.
180. MAGAR, M. E. & ROBBINS, J. E. (1969). The subunits of porcine heart TPN-linked isocitrate dehydrogenase. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **191**, 173-179.
181. BRIDGER, W. A. (1971). Evidence for two types of subunits in succinyl CoA synthetase. *Biochem. biophys. Res. Commun.* **42**, 948-954.

182. REED, L. J. (1974). Multienzyme complexes. *Accts. Chem. Res.* **7**, 40-46.
183. HATEFI, Y. (1978). Resolution of complex II and isolation of succinate dehydrogenase (EC 1.3.99.1). *Meth. Enzymol.* **53**, 27-35.
184. MANN, K. G. & VESTLING, C. S. (1969). Subunits of liver mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase. *Biochemistry* **8**, 1105-1109.
185. MORIKAWA, M., IZUI, K., TAGUCHI, M. & KATSUKI, H. (1980). Regulation of *Escherichia coli* phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase by multiple effectors *in vivo*. *J. Biochem.* **87**, 441-449.
186. KELLY, P. J., KELLEHER, J. K. & WRIGHT, B. E. (1979). The tricarboxylic acid cycle in *Dictyostelium discoideum*: metabolite concentrations, oxygen uptake and ¹⁴C-labelled amino acid labelling patterns. *Biochem. J.* **184**, 581-588.
187. WILLIAMSON, D. H. & BROSNAN, J. T. (1971). Concentrations of metabolites in animal tissues. In: *Methods of Enzymatic Analysis* (Bergmeyer, H. V., ed.) pp. 2266-2302. New York: Academic Press.
188. SUNDQVIST, K. E., PEUHKURINEN, K. J., HILTUNEN, J. K. & HASSINEN, I. E. (1984). Effect of acetate and octanoate on tricarboxylic acid cycle metabolite disposal during propionate oxidation in the perfused rat heart. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **801**, 429-436.
189. LOWRY, O. H., CARTER, J., WARD, J. B. & GLASER, L. (1971). The effect of carbon and nitrogen sources on the level of metabolic intermediates in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **246**, 6511-6521.
190. LANGUNAS, R. & GANCEDO, C. (1983). Role of phosphate in the regulation of the Pasteur effect of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **137**, 479-483.
191. GANCEDO, J. M. & GANCEDO, C. (1973). Concentrations of intermediary metabolites in yeast. *Biochimie* **55**, 205-211.
192. WRIGHT, B. E. & KELLY, P. J. (1981). Kinetic models of metabolism in intact cells, tissues, and organisms. *Curr. Top. Cell. Regul.* **19**, 103-158.
193. MINAKAMI, S., SUZUKI, C., SAITO, T. & YOSHIKAWA, H. (1965). Studies on erythrocyte glycolysis. *J. Biochem.* **58**, 543-550.
194. NEWBY, A. C., HOLMQUIST, C. A., ILLINGWORTH, J. & PEARSON, I. D. (1983). The control of adenosine concentration in polymorphonuclear leucocytes, cultured heart cells, and isolated perfused heart from the rat. *Biochem. J.* **214**, 317-323.
195. MAGNANI, M., STOCCHI, V., DACHA, M. & FORNAINI, G. (1984). Regulatory properties of rabbit red blood cell hexokinase at conditions close to physiological. *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **804**, 145-153.
196. ASHIHARA, H. & KOMAMINE, A. (1974). Enzyme and metabolic profiles of the pentose phosphate pathway in hypocotyls of *Phaseolus mungo* seedlings. *Plant Sci. Lett.* **2**, 331-337.
197. ZORZANO, A., BALON, T. W., BRADY, L. I., RIVERA, P., GARETTO, L. P., YOUNG, J. C., GOODMAN, M. N. & RUDERMAN, N. B. (1985). Effects of starvation and exercise on concentrations of citrate, hexose phosphates, and glycogen in skeletal muscle and heart. Evidence for selective operation of the glucose-fatty acid cycle. *Biochem. J.* **232**, 585-591.
198. TAKALA, T., HILTUNEN, J. K. & HASSINEN, I. E. (1980). The mechanism of ammonia production and the effect of mechanical work load on proteolysis and amino acid catabolism in isolated perfused rat heart. *Biochem. J.* **192**, 285-295.
199. DEBUYSERE, M. S. & OLSON, M. S. (1983). The analysis of acylcoenzyme A derivatives by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. *Anal. Biochem.* **133**, 373-379.
200. WRIGHT, B. E. (Personal communication).
201. OTTAWAY, J. H. & MOWBRAY, J. (1977). The role of compartmentation in the control of glycolysis. *Curr. Top. Cell. Regul.* **12**, 107-208.
202. PEUHKURINEN, K. J., NAUTINEN, E. M., PIETILAINEN, E. P., HILTUNEN, J. K. & HASSINEN, I. E. (1982). Role of pyruvate carboxylation in the energy-linked regulation of pool sizes of tricarboxylic acid-cycle intermediates in the myocardium. *Biochem. J.* **208**, 577-581.
203. BLOCH, W., MACQUARRIE, R. A. & BERNHARD, S. A. (1971). The nucleotide and acyl group content of native rabbit muscle glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **246**, 780-790.
204. WRIGHT, B. E. & WASSARMAN, M. E. (1964). Pyridine nucleotide levels in *Dictyostelium discoideum* during differentiation. *Biochim. biophys. Acta.* **90**, 423-424.
205. LUND, K., MERRILL, D. K. & GUYNN, R. W. (1985). The reactions of the phosphorylated pathway of L-serine biosynthesis: thermodynamic relationships in rabbit liver *in vivo*. *Arch. biochem. Biophys.* **237**, 186-196.
206. ILLINGWORTH, J. A. & TIPTON, K. F. (1970). Purification and properties of the nicotinamide-adenine dinucleotide phosphate-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase from pig liver cytoplasm. *Biochem. J.* **118**, 253-258.

207. DIXON, M. & WEBB, E. C. (1979). In: *Enzymes*. 3rd ed. p. 554. New York: Academic Press.
208. MURPHEY, W. H., BARNABY, C., LIN, F. J. & KAPLAN, N. O. (1967). Malate dehydrogenases. II. Purification and properties of *Bacillus subtilis*, *Bacillus stearothermophilus* and *Escherichia coli* malate dehydrogenases. *J. biol. Chem.* **242**, 1548-1559.
209. FRENKEL, R. (1971). Bovine heart malic enzyme. I. Isolation and partial purification of a cytoplasmic and mitochondrial enzyme. *J. biol. Chem.* **246**, 3069-3074.
210. WIMPENNY, J. W. T. & FIRTH, A. (1972). Levels of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide in facultative bacteria and the effect of oxygen. *J. Bact.* **111**, 24-32.
211. OZBUN, J. L., HAWKER, J. S. & PREISS, J. (1972). Soluble adenosine diphosphate glucose- α -1,4-glucan α -4-glucosyltransferases from spinach leaves. *Biochem. J.* **126**, 953-963.
212. KIM, I. C. & BRAGG, P. D. (1971). Some properties of the succinate dehydrogenase of *Escherichia coli*. *Can. J. Biochem.* **49**, 1098-1104.
213. HEDERSTADT, L. (1986). Molecular properties, genetics, and biosynthesis of *Bacillus subtilis* succinate dehydrogenase complex. *Meth. Enzymol.* **126**, 399-414.
214. WRIGHT, B. E. and DAHLBERG, D. (1967). Cell wall synthesis in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. II. Synthesis of soluble glycogen by a cytoplasmic enzyme. *Biochemistry* **6**, 2074-2079.
215. HAYAKAWA, T., HIRASHIMA, M., IDE, S., HAMADA, M., OKABE, K. & KOIKE, M. (1966). Mammalian α -keto acid dehydrogenase complexes. I. Isolation, purification, and properties of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex of pig heart muscle. *J. biol. Chem.* **241**, 4694-4699.
216. PETTIT, F. H. & REED, L. J. (1982). Pyruvate dehydrogenase complex from bovine kidney and heart. *Meth. Enzymol.* **89**, 376-386.